

Fine structures inside the PreLie operad

F. Chapoton

October 18, 2010

Abstract

This article aims at a detailed analysis of the PreLie operad. We obtain a more concrete description of the relationship between the anticyclic structure of PreLie and the generators of PreLie as a Lie-module, which was known before only at the level of characters. Building on this, we obtain an inclusion of the cyclic Lie module in the PreLie operad. We conjecture that the image of this inclusion generates an interesting free sub-operad.

0 Introduction

The aim of this article is to make a step forward in the study a specific operad, called the PreLie operad, and to unravel some unexpected relations with the Lie operad. The PreLie operad describes pre-Lie algebras, which have been useful in various contexts, and were introduced independently in the works of Gerstenhaber [9] in deformation theory and in the works of Vinberg [15] on convex homogeneous cones. The PreLie operad itself has been described in [6] in terms of combinatorial objects called rooted trees. This description is strongly connected to the use of rooted trees in numerical analysis [3], because the space of vector fields on the affine space is naturally a pre-Lie algebra.

Many results are already known on the PreLie operad. It was proved in [6] that it is a Koszul operad, and this result has been reproved since then by other methods [14, 10]. Another important property is the fact, first proved by Foissy in [8], that free pre-Lie algebras are free Lie algebras, where the Lie bracket comes from antisymmetrising the pre-Lie product. Another proof has been obtained in [5], in the setting of operads rather than algebras. This property translates into the fact that the PreLie operad is a free left Lie-module, so that there exists a factorisation

$$\text{PreLie} = \text{Lie} \circ X$$

in the category of \mathfrak{S} -modules, where \circ is the composition of \mathfrak{S} -modules. Our principal aim is to understand better the \mathfrak{S} -module X .

Surprisingly enough, the \mathfrak{S} -module X is related to another structure on the PreLie operad. It was shown in [4] that PreLie is an anticyclic operad. This means in particular that the \mathfrak{S} -module PreLie admits a primitive CycPreLie, which means that $\text{CycPreLie}(n+1) \simeq \text{PreLie}(n)$ as modules over the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n . It has been proved in [5, Th. 5.3] that for $n \geq 2$ there is an isomorphism of modules over the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n ,

$$X(n) \simeq \text{Reflex}(n) \otimes \text{CycPreLie}(n),$$

where $\text{Reflex}(n) = \mathbb{Q}^n / \mathbb{Q}$ is the quotient of the natural action of \mathfrak{S}_n on \mathbb{Q}^n by the diagonal subspace. This was proved using explicit formulas for the characters of these modules. The main result of the present article is to better explain this relation, by the definition of an explicit isomorphism. This will be achieved in section 7.

The other main result of the article is the following. It is well known that the Lie operad is a cyclic operad [11], so that in particular there exists a primitive CycLie to the \mathfrak{S} -module Lie . We obtain, using the previous parts of the article, an injective morphism of \mathfrak{S} -modules from CycLie to PreLie .

We expect that this inclusion of CycLie into PreLie should have very nice properties. We conjecture that it generates a free sub-operad of PreLie . A recent result by N. Bergeron and Loday [2] would be a direct corollary of this more general conjecture. Moreover, we conjecture that the sub-operad of PreLie generated by the image of CycLie should be isomorphic to X as a \mathfrak{S} -module and should give a distinguished space of generators of PreLie as a Lie-module.

1 General setting and notations

We will use the description of operads using \mathfrak{S} -modules over \mathbb{Q} . The reader may want to consult [12] for further details on the theory of operads.

Recall that the category of \mathfrak{S} -modules over \mathbb{Q} is the category of functors from the groupoid of finite sets to the category of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces. By choosing an equivalent model for the groupoid of finite sets, \mathfrak{S} -modules can also be described as collections of vector spaces $P(n)$ with an action of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n on $P(n)$ for $n \geq 0$. We will freely use both descriptions.

We will denote S_1 the \mathfrak{S} -module corresponding to the trivial representation of \mathfrak{S}_1 .

The derivative P' of an \mathfrak{S} -module P is defined as follows. For every n , the space $P'(n-1)$ is $P(n)$, with the action of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} given by restriction of the action of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n on $P(n)$. A primitive of a \mathfrak{S} -module P is a \mathfrak{S} -module Q such that $Q' = P$.

The category of \mathfrak{S} -modules is endowed with a nonsymmetric tensor product \circ which is called the composition. An **operad** is a monoid for this tensor product. We will also consider right and left modules over operads, for the same monoidal structure.

We will also need the symmetric tensor structure \otimes on the category of \mathfrak{S} -modules defined by

$$(P \otimes Q)(I) = \bigoplus_{I=J \sqcup K} P(J) \otimes Q(K).$$

Using this tensor product, one can also define exterior and symmetric powers of \mathfrak{S} -modules.

There is a third tensor product on the category of \mathfrak{S} -modules, sometimes called the Hadamard product, defined by

$$(P \odot Q)(I) = P(I) \otimes Q(I).$$

There is an equivalent way to describe an operad \mathcal{P} , using partial compositions instead of the global composition map $\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$. We will denote by

\circ_* the partial composition at position $*$ in an operad. Symbols like $*$, $\#$ will sometimes appear as placeholders for positions of compositions.

2 The Lie operad

Recall that a Lie algebra is a vector space V endowed with an antisymmetric bracket $(x, y) \mapsto [x, y]$ such that

$$[x, [y, z]] + [x, [y, z]] + [x, [y, z]] = 0. \quad (1)$$

This relation is called the Jacobi identity.

Let Lie be the operad describing Lie algebras.

The operad Lie admits a presentation by generators and relations, which amounts to the axiomatic description of Lie algebras given above. There is an antisymmetric generator in two variables, and a relation between compositions of two generators, given by (1).

Recall now that a symmetric bilinear form $(,)$ on a Lie algebra is called invariant if

$$([x, y], z) = (x, [y, z]). \quad (2)$$

This invariance condition is classical and comes from the natural invariance condition for bilinear forms under group actions.

This notion of invariant bilinear form leads to a structure of cyclic operad on Lie , first introduced by Kontsevich [11]. The \mathfrak{S} -module CycLie (cyclic Lie module) is the right Lie-module defined by the exact sequence of right Lie-modules

$$K_L \rightarrow S^2 \text{Lie} \xrightarrow{(\cdot, \cdot)} \text{CycLie} \rightarrow 0, \quad (3)$$

where K_L is the sub right Lie-module of $S^2 \text{Lie}$ generated by the relation (2).

As an \mathfrak{S} -module, CycLie is a primitive of Lie .

Remark 2.1 *The \mathfrak{S} -module CycLie is also called the Whitehouse module.*

The following statement is a general property of cyclic operads.

Proposition 2.2 *Let I be a finite set. For every element ℓ in $\text{CycLie}(I)$ and every element $i \in I$, there is a unique element ℓ_i in $\text{Lie}(I \setminus \{i\})$ such that*

$$\ell = (i, \ell_i). \quad (4)$$

For example, if $\ell = ([x, y], z)$ and $i = x$ then $\ell_i = [y, z]$ by (2).

3 The PreLie operad

Recall that a pre-Lie algebra is a vector space V endowed with a bilinear map $(x, y) \mapsto x \triangleleft y$ such that

$$(x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z - x \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z) = (x \triangleleft z) \triangleleft y - x \triangleleft (z \triangleleft y). \quad (5)$$

Let PreLie be the operad describing pre-Lie algebras.

The operad PreLie admits a combinatorial description using rooted trees [6]. Let us recall this briefly here.

For a finite set I , a rooted tree on I is a connected and simply connected graph with vertex set I , together with a distinguished vertex called the root. One can then orient every edge towards the root. Then $\text{PreLie}(I)$ is the vector space spanned by rooted trees on I . The composition of two rooted trees $S \circ_i T$ is the sum of all rooted trees obtained from the disjoint union of $S \setminus \{i\}$ and T by adding one edge for every edge that was incident to i in S , as follows. Edges that were incoming at i must keep the same start and end at some vertex of T . The edge that was outgoing at i (if it exists) must keep the same end and start at the root of T . The root of every tree in the sum is the unique vertex with no outgoing edge.

The operad PreLie admits a presentation by generators and relations, which amounts to the axiomatic description of pre-Lie algebras given above. There is a generator in two variables, and a relation between compositions of two generators, given by (5).

From the description above by rooted trees of the operad PreLie , one can get the following rule for the product $S \triangleleft T$ of two rooted trees S and T : this is the sum of all rooted trees obtained from the disjoint union of S and T by adding one edge from the root of T to a vertex of S . The root of each tree in this sum is the root of S .

Recall now [4, § 5.3] that an antisymmetric bilinear form \langle, \rangle on a pre-Lie algebra is called invariant if

$$\langle x, y \triangleleft z \rangle = -\langle z, y \triangleleft x \rangle \quad (6)$$

and

$$\langle x, y \triangleleft z \rangle = \langle y, x \triangleleft z - z \triangleleft x \rangle. \quad (7)$$

This invariance condition is less classical than its Lie analogue, but has been used in the study of left-invariant affine and symplectic structures on Lie groups. It is also related to the notion of quasi-Frobenius Lie algebra.

Note that (6) is a consequence of (7) and that these relations also imply the following relation:

$$\langle x, y \triangleleft z \rangle + \langle y, z \triangleleft x \rangle + \langle z, x \triangleleft y \rangle = 0. \quad (8)$$

This notion of invariant bilinear form leads to a structure of anticyclic operad on PreLie , introduced in [4]. The anticyclic \mathfrak{S} -module CycPreLie is the right PreLie -module defined by the exact sequence of right PreLie -modules

$$K_P \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \text{PreLie} \xrightarrow{\langle, \rangle} \text{CycPreLie} \rightarrow 0, \quad (9)$$

where K_P is the sub right PreLie -module of $\Lambda^2 \text{PreLie}$ generated by the relations (6) and (7).

As an \mathfrak{S} -module, CycPreLie is a primitive of PreLie .

The following statement is a general property of anticyclic operads.

Proposition 3.1 *Let I be a finite set. For every $t \in \text{CycPreLie}(I)$ and every $i \in I$, there is a unique $\Gamma_i(t) \in \text{PreLie}(I \setminus \{i\})$ such that*

$$t = \langle i, \Gamma_i(t) \rangle. \quad (10)$$

For example, if $t = \langle x, y \triangleleft z \rangle$ then $\Gamma_z(t) = -y \triangleleft x$ by (6).

Here is a more complicated example. If $t = \langle w, x \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z) \rangle$, then one can show that $\Gamma_z(t) = -y \triangleleft (x \triangleleft w)$.

Let us now state some lemmas for later use. For short, we will write $a \in s$ as an abbreviation for the sentence $s \in \text{PreLie}(I)$ and $a \in I$ for some finite set I . We will also say that r and s have disjoint indices if $r \in \text{PreLie}(I)$ and $s \in \text{PreLie}(J)$ for some disjoint finite sets I and J .

Lemma 3.2 *Let r, s, t in PreLie with disjoint indices. Let $a \in s$ and $b \in r \sqcup s$ distinct from a . Then*

$$\Gamma_b(r \wedge (s \circ_a t)) = \Gamma_b(r \wedge s) \circ_a t. \quad (11)$$

Proof. Indeed, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b, \Gamma_b(r \wedge (s \circ_a t)) \rangle &= \langle r, s \circ_a t \rangle = \langle r, s \rangle \circ_a t \\ &= \langle b, \Gamma_b(r \wedge s) \rangle \circ_a t = \langle b, \Gamma_b(r \wedge s) \circ_a t \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Here one uses the definition of Γ and the fact that CycPreLie is a right PreLie -module. ■

Lemma 3.3 *Let r, s, t in PreLie with disjoint indices. Let $a \in s$ and $b \in t$. Then*

$$\Gamma_b(r \wedge (s \circ_a t)) = -\Gamma_b(\# \wedge t) \circ_{\#} \Gamma_a(r \wedge s). \quad (13)$$

Proof. Let us compute $\langle \#, t \rangle \circ_{\#} \Gamma_a(r \wedge s)$ in two ways. On the one hand, this is equal by definition of Γ to

$$\langle b, \Gamma_b(\# \wedge t) \rangle \circ_{\#} \Gamma_a(r \wedge s),$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\langle b, \Gamma_b(\# \wedge t) \circ_{\#} \Gamma_a(r \wedge s) \rangle.$$

On the other hand, this is equal by properties of Γ to

$$\langle \Gamma_a(r \wedge s), t \rangle = \langle \Gamma_a(r \wedge s), a \rangle \circ_a t,$$

which by definition of Γ is

$$-\langle r, s \rangle \circ_a t = -\langle r, s \circ_a t \rangle = -\langle b, \Gamma_b(r \wedge (s \circ_a t)) \rangle.$$

This proves the expected equality. ■

4 PreLie is free as a Lie-module

Recall that every pre-Lie algebra is also a Lie algebra for the bracket defined by

$$[x, y] = x \triangleleft y - y \triangleleft x. \quad (14)$$

This defines a morphism φ of operads from Lie to PreLie . The composition with the projection from Lie to the associative operad Assoc is the usual inclusion of Lie in Assoc , hence φ is also injective.

From this morphism, one can deduce by restriction of composition in PreLie a structure of left Lie -module on PreLie :

$$\text{Lie} \circ \text{PreLie} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{PreLie}. \quad (15)$$

It is clear from this definition that γ is a morphism of right PreLie -modules.

Let $\text{Lie}_{\geq 2}$ be the restriction of Lie to degrees at least 2. One can restrict γ to $\text{Lie}_{\geq 2} \circ \text{PreLie}$ and define a \mathfrak{S} -module Indec by the exact sequence of right PreLie -modules

$$\text{Lie}_{\geq 2} \circ \text{PreLie} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{PreLie} \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Indec} \rightarrow 0. \quad (16)$$

The \mathfrak{S} -module Indec was denoted by X in the introduction.

It has been shown in [5] (see also [8, 1]) that PreLie is a free left Lie -module: there exists an isomorphism of \mathfrak{S} -modules

$$\text{PreLie} \simeq \text{Lie} \circ \text{Indec}. \quad (17)$$

This isomorphism is not canonical, but depends on the choice of a section of the projection π .

This statement of freeness can be reformulated as follows. The left Lie -module structure of PreLie can be considered as a structure of Lie -algebra in the category of \mathfrak{S} -modules (with respect to the tensor product \otimes). The usual theory of Lie algebras over a field has a natural extension to this setting, including universal enveloping algebras and the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex (see for instance [13]). Freeness as a left- Lie -module then translates into freeness as a Lie algebra, which implies that the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex has homology only in degree 0.

As the image of the leftmost arrow γ of (16) is spanned by the linear combinations of brackets of rooted trees, there is a long exact sequence of right PreLie -modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow \Lambda^3 \text{PreLie} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Lambda^2 \text{PreLie} \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{PreLie} \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Indec} \rightarrow 0, \quad (18)$$

where δ are the Chevalley-Eilenberg differentials. The rightmost δ sends $s \wedge t$ to $s \triangleleft t - t \triangleleft s$.

This gives a short exact sequence of right PreLie -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Rela} \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{PreLie} \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Indec} \rightarrow 0, \quad (19)$$

where Rela is the quotient of $\Lambda^2 \text{PreLie}$ by the image of δ .

Proposition 4.1 *The right PreLie -module Rela is the quotient of $\Lambda^2 \text{PreLie}$ by the sub-right PreLie -module generated by the following 6-terms relations:*

$$r \wedge (s \triangleleft t) + s \wedge (t \triangleleft r) + t \wedge (r \triangleleft s) - s \wedge (r \triangleleft t) - t \wedge (s \triangleleft r) - r \wedge (t \triangleleft s) = 0. \quad (20)$$

Proof. We are dealing here with the first few terms of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex for the Lie algebra PreLie . As we know that this is a free Lie algebra, there is no homology but in degree 0. This implies that the kernel is spanned by the image of $r \wedge s \wedge t$ by δ :

$$[r, s] \wedge t + [s, t] \wedge r - [r, t] \wedge s. \quad (21)$$

Using the link between the bracket and \triangleleft , this gives the expected relations. ■

5 Reduction to root-valence 1

Let us define the **root-valence** of a rooted tree T to be the valence of the root of T , *i.e.* the number of edges adjacent to the root.

Recall the following standard notation: for rooted trees T_1, \dots, T_k , let

$$B_a^+(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k)$$

be the rooted tree obtained by grafting T_1, \dots, T_k on a new root with index a .

If S is a rooted tree in PreLie , we will denote $[S]$ the class of S modulo the image of δ , *i.e.* modulo Lie brackets of rooted trees.

Proposition 5.1 *For every rooted trees S and T and $*$ $\in S$, one has*

$$[S \circ_* T] = [S] \circ_* T. \quad (22)$$

Proof. This is because (19) is an exact sequence of right PreLie -modules. ■

Proposition 5.2 *Every rooted tree T in PreLie (with at least two vertices) is equivalent modulo $\delta(\Lambda^2 \text{PreLie})$ to a linear combination of rooted trees of root-valence 1.*

The proof is by induction on the size of rooted trees and uses several lemmas, of increasing generality.

Lemma 5.3 *The statement is true if T has root-valence 2 and at least one of the two subtrees of the root is a singleton a .*

Proof. Let T' be the tree T with vertex a removed. Then T' has root-valence 1. Then one has $[T', a] = T' \triangleleft a - a \triangleleft T'$. The tree $a \triangleleft T'$ has root-valence 1. One has $T' \triangleleft a = T + r$, where r is a sum of trees of root-valence 1. ■

Lemma 5.4 *The statement is true if T has a leaf a such that the vertex b under a has valence 1.*

Proof. In this case, one can write $T = T' \circ_* (ba)$, where T' is a smaller tree with a leaf $*$. By induction on the size, the tree T' is equivalent to a linear combination $\sum_\alpha c_\alpha T_\alpha$ of trees of root-valence 1. By Prop. 5.1, the tree T is equivalent to the linear combination $\sum_\alpha c_\alpha T_\alpha \circ_* (ba)$.

If $*$ is not the root of T_α , then $T_\alpha \circ_* (ba)$ is a sum of trees of root-valence 1. If $*$ is the root of T_α , then $T_\alpha \circ_* (ba)$ is the sum of a rooted tree of root-valence 1 plus a rooted tree of root-valence 2, which satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 5.3. ■

Lemma 5.5 *The statement is true if at most one of the subtrees of the root of T is not a leaf.*

Proof. By induction on the root-valence k . If $k = 1$, there is nothing to prove. If $k = 2$, we can use Lemma 5.3 above.

Assume now that k is at least 2 and write $T = B_a^+(T_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$.

Let $T' = B_a^+(T_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1})$ be the tree obtained from T by removing the leaf a_k .

Then $[T', a_k] = T' \triangleleft a_k - a_k \triangleleft T'$. The tree $a_k \triangleleft T'$ has root-valence 1. One has $T' \triangleleft a_k = T + r$, where r is a sum of two kinds of trees: either a_k is grafted on one of the leaves a_i , in which case one can apply Lemma 5.4, or a_k is grafted on T_1 , in which case one can use the induction on k . ■

Lemma 5.6 *The statement is true for any rooted tree T with at least 2 vertices.*

Proof. Pick in T a vertex a of maximal height, where the height of a vertex is the number of edges in the unique path to the root. Let b be the vertex under a . The set of all vertices over b is then a corolla C_b .

In this case, T can be written $T' \circ_* C_b$. By induction on the size, T' is equivalent to a linear combination $\sum_{\alpha} \mathbf{c}_{\alpha} T_{\alpha}$ of trees of root-valence 1.

By Prop. 5.1, T is equivalent to the linear combination $\sum_{\alpha} \mathbf{c}_{\alpha} T_{\alpha} \circ_* C_b$.

If $*$ is not the root of T_{α} , then $T_{\alpha} \circ_* C_b$ is a sum of trees of root-valence 1. If $*$ is the root of T_{α} , then $T_{\alpha} \circ_* C_b$ is a sum of rooted trees which satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 5.5. \blacksquare

This concludes the proof of Prop. 5.1.

Remark 5.7 *What we used in the proof of Lemma 5.6 is a top corolla, i.e. a vertex b with only leaves above it. Instead of choosing one such vertex of maximal height, it may be more convenient for purposes of practical computation of ρ to choose one with the smallest number of attached leaves.*

Let $\text{PreLie}_{v=1}$ be the sub \mathfrak{S} -module of PreLie spanned by rooted trees with root-valence 1.

Remark 5.8 *The \mathfrak{S} -module $\text{PreLie}_{v=1}$ is not a right PreLie -module.*

Let $\text{Rela}_{v=1}$ be the subspace of Rela that is mapped by δ to $\text{PreLie}_{v=1}$.

Let $\text{Indec}_{\geq 2}$ be the sub- \mathfrak{S} -module of Indec obtained by removing the degree 1 component of Indec .

From Prop. 5.2 and the short exact sequence (19), one obtains a short exact sequence of \mathfrak{S} -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Rela}_{v=1} \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{PreLie}_{v=1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Indec}_{\geq 2} \rightarrow 0. \quad (23)$$

Let us now describe another short exact sequence, and then compare them.

6 A simple short exact sequence

Let Comm be the underlying \mathfrak{S} -module of the commutative operad. For every finite set I , $\text{Comm}(I) = \mathbb{Q}$.

Let Perm be the underlying \mathfrak{S} -module of the permutative operad. For every finite set I , $\text{Comm}(I) = \mathbb{Q}I$.

There is an inclusion ι from Comm to Perm that sends $1 \in \text{Comm}(I)$ to $\sum_{i \in I} i \in \text{Perm}(I)$.

Let Reflex be the quotient \mathfrak{S} -module, so that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Comm} \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Perm} \xrightarrow{p} \text{Reflex} \rightarrow 0. \quad (24)$$

By (Hadamard) tensor product with CycPreLie , one gets a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{CycPreLie} \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Perm} \odot \text{CycPreLie} \xrightarrow{p} \text{Reflex} \odot \text{CycPreLie} \rightarrow 0. \quad (25)$$

There exists a section of the projection map p in the short exact sequence (24), that maps the class of $i - j$ to $i - j$. This gives a similar section of the projection p in the short exact sequence (25).

7 Isomorphism of exact sequences

In this section, we will obtain the following isomorphism of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{CycPreLie} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \text{Perm} \odot \text{CycPreLie} & \xrightarrow{p} & \text{Reflex} \odot \text{CycPreLie} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \mu \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Rela}_{v=1} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{PreLie}_{v=1} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Indec}_{\geq 2} \longrightarrow 0
\end{array} \tag{26}$$

7.1 Middle column

Let us start with the isomorphism between middle terms. There is a simple isomorphism ψ from $\text{Perm} \odot \text{CycPreLie}$ to $\text{PreLie}_{v=1}$ defined for t in CycPreLie and $a \in t$ by

$$\psi(a \otimes t) = a \triangleleft \Gamma_a(t), \tag{27}$$

where $\Gamma_a(t)$ is the function introduced in Prop. 3.1.

The inverse morphism maps a rooted tree of root-valence 1, written as $a \triangleleft T$ to the expression $a \otimes \langle a, T \rangle$.

Note that the composite morphism $\psi\iota$ has therefore the following description:

$$\psi(\iota(t)) = \sum_j j \triangleleft \Gamma_j(t). \tag{28}$$

7.2 Left column (up)

Proposition 7.1 *There exists a morphism \langle, \rangle from Rela to CycPreLie .*

Proof. Let us start with the morphism \langle, \rangle from $\Lambda^2 \text{PreLie}$ to CycPreLie that defines the anticyclic structure. By Prop. 4.1, it is enough to check that the 6-terms relations (20) are mapped to 0. These relations are mapped in CycPreLie to

$$\langle r, s \triangleleft t \rangle + \langle s, t \triangleleft r \rangle + \langle t, r \triangleleft s \rangle - \langle s, r \triangleleft t \rangle - \langle t, s \triangleleft r \rangle - \langle r, t \triangleleft s \rangle.$$

Using (8) twice, one obtains that this vanishes in CycPreLie . ■

By restriction of the morphism \langle, \rangle from Rela to CycPreLie , one has a morphism from $\text{Rela}_{v=1}$ to CycPreLie , still denoted \langle, \rangle .

7.3 Left column (down)

Theorem 7.2 *There exists a unique morphism ρ from CycPreLie to $\text{Rela}_{v=1}$ such that $\delta\rho$ equals $\psi\iota$, i.e.*

$$\delta(\rho(x)) = \sum_i i \triangleleft \Gamma_i(x). \tag{29}$$

The morphism ρ has the following property:

$$\rho((r \wedge s) \circ_i t) = \rho(r \wedge s) \circ_i t - \rho(\# \wedge t) \circ_{\#} \Gamma_i(r \wedge s) + \Gamma_i(r \wedge s) \wedge t, \tag{30}$$

for r, s, t in PreLie with disjoint indices and $i \in r \sqcup s$.

Proof. Let us start by remarking that the uniqueness of ρ is clear because δ is an injection.

Assuming now for a moment that ρ has been defined, let us prove the last statement, using uniqueness. Let us apply δ to the right side of (30) :

$$\sum_{j \in r, s} (j \triangleleft \Gamma_j(r \wedge s)) \circ_i t - \sum_{j \in \# \sqcup t} (j \triangleleft \Gamma_j(\# \wedge t)) \circ_{\#} \Gamma_i(r \wedge s) + [\Gamma_i(r \wedge s), t]. \quad (31)$$

The term with $j = i$ in the first sum and the term with $j = \#$ in the second sum annihilates with the bracket term. One can then use Lemma 3.3 to rewrite the second sum and obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in r, s \\ j \neq i}} j \triangleleft \Gamma_j((r \wedge s) \circ_i t) + \sum_{j \in t} j \triangleleft \Gamma_j((r \wedge s) \circ_i t), \quad (32)$$

which is exactly $\psi(\iota((r \wedge s) \circ_i t))$.

Remark 7.3 *One can also interpret this argument as follows: if the equation (29) is satisfied by the terms entering the right hand side of (30), then it is also satisfied by the left hand side.*

Let us now enter the existence proof of ρ .

It is enough to define a morphism from $\Lambda^2 \text{PreLie}$ to $\text{Rela}_{v=1}$ satisfying (29), as it will then automatically pass to the quotient CycPreLie .

As the set of elements $T \wedge T'$ (for rooted trees T and T' with disjoint indices) spans $\Lambda^2 \text{PreLie}$, it is sufficient to define $\rho(T \wedge T')$.

The definition is by induction on the cardinality of the finite set I , and, at fixed cardinality, by a four-steps process for pairs of trees of increasing generality.

FIRST STEP.

Let us define ρ when I has cardinality 2 or 3 as

$$\rho(a \wedge b) = a \wedge b, \quad (33)$$

and

$$\rho(a \wedge (b \triangleleft c)) = a \wedge (b \triangleleft c) - c \wedge (b \triangleleft a). \quad (34)$$

One can easily check that indeed $\delta\rho = \psi\iota$ in these cases.

SECOND STEP.

Assume now that $T = a$ and that $T' = b \triangleleft T''$ where T'' has at least two vertices.

One then defines $\rho(T \wedge T')$ using induction on the number of vertices by the following formula:

$$\rho(a \wedge (b \triangleleft T'')) = \rho(a \wedge (b \triangleleft *)) \circ_* T'' - \rho(\# \wedge T'') \circ_{\#} \Gamma_*(a \wedge (b \triangleleft *)) + \Gamma_*(a \wedge (b \triangleleft *)) \wedge T''. \quad (35)$$

As this formula is an instance of formula (30), one deduces from Remark 7.3 that (29) holds in this case.

THIRD STEP.

Assume now that $T = a$ and that T' has root-valence at least 2. One write $T' = B_b^+(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k)$. Let $T'' = B_*^+(T_2, \dots, T_k)$. In this case, one has

$$T' = T'' \circ_* (b \triangleleft T_1) - \sum_{\alpha} T_{\alpha}, \quad (36)$$

where the sums runs over terms of smaller root-valence.

One then defines $\rho(T \wedge T')$ using induction on the root-valence of T' by the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(a \wedge T') &= \rho(a \wedge T'') \circ_* (b \triangleleft T_1) - \rho(\# \wedge (b \triangleleft T_1)) \circ_{\#} \Gamma_*(a \wedge T'') \\ &\quad + \Gamma_*(a \wedge T'') \wedge (b \triangleleft T_1) - \sum_{\alpha} \rho(a \wedge T_{\alpha}) \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

As this formula is an instance of formula (30), one deduces from Remark 7.3 that (29) holds in this case.

FOURTH STEP.

Assume now that neither T nor T' is a singleton.

One then defines $\rho(T \wedge T')$ by the following formula:

$$\rho(T \wedge T') = \rho(T \wedge *) \circ_* T' + \rho(\# \wedge T') \circ_{\#} T - T \wedge T'. \quad (38)$$

As this formula is an instance of formula (30), the equation (29) holds in this case by Remark 7.3 . ■

For example, one can compute in this way that

$$\rho(\langle a, b \triangleleft (c \triangleleft d) \rangle) = a \wedge b \triangleleft (c \triangleleft d) - c \triangleleft d \wedge b \triangleleft a - d \wedge c \triangleleft (b \triangleleft a). \quad (39)$$

Theorem 7.4 *The morphism ρ satisfies $\langle, \rangle(\rho(x)) = (n-1)x$ for every x in $\text{CycPreLie}(I)$, where $n = |I|$.*

Proof. The property is easy to check for small n . By the proof of Th. 7.2, it is then enough to check that this property is preserved by the formula (30) in the following sense: if it is true for the terms entering the right-hand side, it is true for the left-hand side.

Applying \langle, \rangle on the right-hand side of (30), one finds

$$(|r| + |s| - 1)\langle r, s \rangle \circ_i t - |t|\langle \#, t \rangle \circ_{\#} \Gamma_i(r \wedge s) + \langle \Gamma_i(r \wedge s), t \rangle. \quad (40)$$

This can be rewritten as

$$(|r| + |s| + |t| - 2)\langle r, s \rangle \circ_i t, \quad (41)$$

which is indeed the correct value for the left-hand side. ■

7.4 Proof of the isomorphism

By Theorem 7.2, we therefore have a morphism (ρ, ψ, μ) from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{CycPreLie} \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Perm} \odot \text{CycPreLie} \xrightarrow{p} \text{Reflex} \odot \text{CycPreLie} \rightarrow 0 \quad (42)$$

to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Rela}_{v=1} \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{PreLie}_{v=1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Indec}_{\geq 2} \rightarrow 0, \quad (43)$$

where μ is defined as the quotient morphism from $\text{Reflex} \odot \text{CycPreLie}$ to $\text{Indec}_{\geq 2}$.

Proposition 7.5 *The morphism ρ is injective.*

Proof. This follows from Th. 7.4. ■

Note that it is necessary here to work over the field \mathbb{Q} .

Proposition 7.6 *The triple (ρ, ψ, μ) is an isomorphism of short exact sequences.*

Proof. We already know that ψ is an isomorphism (§ 7.1) and that ρ is injective.

To conclude, it is enough to note that the dimensions of the right-most terms are the same, both given by

$$(n-1)^{n-1} \quad (44)$$

for $n \geq 2$, where $n = |I|$. Therefore the dimensions of the left-most terms coincide. This implies that ρ is an isomorphism, hence the statement. ■

Corollary 7.7 *One therefore has an isomorphism μ :*

$$\text{Indec} \simeq S_1 \oplus \text{Reflex} \odot \text{CycPreLie}. \quad (45)$$

Proof. One just need to check what happens in degree 1. The component of degree 1 of the \mathfrak{S} -module Indec is just S_1 . ■

This equivalence has been proved in [5, Th. 5.3] by a computation of characters. We have obtained here an isomorphism that explains why the characters are the same.

8 Inclusion of CycLie in Perm \odot CycPreLie

Let us now define a map θ from CycLie to Perm \odot CycPreLie.

As Lie is a cyclic operad with CycLie as cyclic structure, there is a surjective morphism of right PreLie-modules

$$S^2 \text{Lie} \rightarrow \text{CycLie}. \quad (46)$$

We will first define θ on $S^2 \text{Lie}$ and then check that it is well defined on the quotient CycLie.

From the morphism of operads φ from Lie \rightarrow PreLie, one has a map $S^2\varphi$:

$$S^2 \text{Lie} \rightarrow S^2 \text{PreLie}. \quad (47)$$

Let ℓ be an element of $S^2 \text{Lie}$. Then one decomposes its image by $S^2\varphi$ in $S^2 \text{PreLie}$ according to the roots of the trees:

$$S^2\varphi(\ell) = \sum_{a,b} \mathbf{c}_{a,b} T_a T_b, \quad (48)$$

where $T_{\#}$ denotes a tree with root $\#$. Then one can define

$$\theta(\ell) = \sum_{a,b} \mathbf{c}_{a,b} (a-b) \otimes \langle T_a, T_b \rangle \quad (49)$$

with values in Perm \odot CycPreLie.

Remark 8.1 *Let us note that the image of θ is contained in the image of the section from $\text{Reflex} \odot \text{CycPreLie}$ to $\text{Perm} \odot \text{CycPreLie}$.*

Proposition 8.2 *The map θ is well defined on CycLie .*

Proof. One just has to check that relation (2) holds.

Consider the image of $m_1[m_2, m_3]$. This is

$$\sum_{a,b,c} (a-b) \otimes \langle \varphi(m_1)_a, \varphi(m_2)_b \triangleleft \varphi(m_3)_c \rangle - (a-c) \otimes \langle \varphi(m_1)_a, \varphi(m_3)_c \triangleleft \varphi(m_2)_b \rangle. \quad (50)$$

Consider now the image of $[m_1, m_2]m_3$. This is

$$\sum_{a,b,c} (a-c) \otimes \langle \varphi(m_1)_a \triangleleft \varphi(m_2)_b, \varphi(m_3)_c \rangle - (b-c) \otimes \langle \varphi(m_2)_b \triangleleft \varphi(m_1)_a, \varphi(m_3)_c \rangle. \quad (51)$$

Then one can rewrite this using the anticyclic structure of CycPreLie , thanks to (6) and (7), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a,b,c} (a-c) \otimes \langle \varphi(m_3)_c \triangleleft \varphi(m_2)_b, \varphi(m_1)_a \rangle \\ - (a-c) \otimes \langle \varphi(m_2)_b \triangleleft \varphi(m_3)_c, \varphi(m_1)_a \rangle \\ + (b-c) \otimes \langle \varphi(m_2)_b \triangleleft \varphi(m_3)_c, \varphi(m_1)_a \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This is exactly (50). ■

Proposition 8.3 *The map θ is injective. The composite map $p\theta$ is also injective.*

Proof. Let us prove the first statement.

Let us fix a finite set I and let x be an element of $\text{CycLie}(I)$ in the kernel of θ . Let us also choose an element $i \in I$. There is a unique element y of $\text{Lie}(I \setminus \{i\})$ such that

$$x = (i, y). \quad (52)$$

By definition, one has

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{j \neq i} (i-j) \otimes \langle i, \varphi(y)_j \rangle, \quad (53)$$

where $\varphi(y)_j$ is the projection of $\varphi(y)$ on the span of trees with root j . The hypothesis $\theta(x) = 0$ implies that for every $j \neq i$,

$$\varphi(y)_j = 0. \quad (54)$$

So we obtain

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \varphi(y)_j = 0 = \varphi(y). \quad (55)$$

But φ is injective, hence $y = 0$ and $x = 0$.

The second statement follows from Remark 8.1. ■

9 Inclusion of CycLie in PreLie_{v=1} and conjectures

Using the previous inclusion θ and Proposition 7.6, one gets a map λ from CycLie to PreLie_{v=1}, hence to PreLie.

Proposition 9.1 *The map λ is injective.*

Proof. This is because λ is the composition of θ (injective) and the isomorphism ψ from Perm \odot CycPreLie to PreLie_{v=1}. ■

Let M be the suboperad of PreLie generated by the image of CycLie by λ in PreLie.

Conjecture 9.2 *The sub-operad M of PreLie is a module of generators of PreLie as a free left Lie-module, i.e.*

$$\text{PreLie} \simeq \text{Lie} \circ M \quad (56)$$

and

$$M \simeq \text{Indec} . \quad (57)$$

Conjecture 9.3 *The sub-operad M of PreLie is isomorphic to the free operad on CycLie, i.e.*

$$\text{FreeOp}(\text{CycLie}) \simeq M. \quad (58)$$

In the direction of Conjecture 9.3, Loday and N. Bergeron [2] have proved that the suboperad of PreLie generated by $x \triangleleft y + y \triangleleft x$ is free. The element $x \triangleleft y + y \triangleleft x$ is the image by λ of the element $(x, y) \in \text{CycLie}$.

Let us summarise these conjectures in words. The image of the inclusion of CycLie in PreLie should generate a free sub-operad of PreLie. This free sub-operad should give a distinguished set of generators of PreLie as a left Lie-module. One should therefore have a **canonical** isomorphism

$$\text{PreLie} \simeq \text{Lie} \circ \text{FreeOp}(\text{CycLie}) \quad (59)$$

and an isomorphism

$$\text{FreeOp}(\text{CycLie}) \simeq \text{Indec} \simeq S_1 \oplus \text{Reflex} \odot \text{CycPreLie}, \quad (60)$$

where the last isomorphism is Corollary 7.7.

Let us explain now how conjecture 9.3 would follow from conjecture 9.2 by an argument of dimension.

Indeed, there exists a surjective morphism of operads from the free operad F on CycLie to M , as M is generated by CycLie. To prove that this is an isomorphism, it is enough to compute the generating series. On the one hand, the generating series f_M of M is defined by

$$f_{\text{PreLie}}(x) = f_{\text{Lie}}(f_M(x)) = -\log(1 - f_M(x)). \quad (61)$$

and therefore one has

$$1 - f_M(x) = \exp(-f_{\text{PreLie}}(x)). \quad (62)$$

On the other hand, the generating series of the free operad F on CycLie is the unique solution with zero constant term of the fixed-point equation

$$f_F(x) = x + f_{\text{CycLie}}(f_F(x)) = x + (1 - f_F(x)) \log(1 - f_F(x)) + f_F(x). \quad (63)$$

which amounts to

$$x = (1 - f_F(x))(-\log(1 - f_F(x))). \quad (64)$$

One can then use the equality

$$f_{\text{PreLie}}(x) = x \exp(f_{\text{PreLie}}(x)) \quad (65)$$

to show that

$$x = (1 - f_M(x))(-\log(1 - f_M(x))). \quad (66)$$

and deduce from this that one must have $f_M = f_F$.

Remark 9.4 *If these conjectures are true, they give a way to define a bigrading on the vector spaces $\text{PreLie}(n)$, where one degree comes from the free operad structure and the other from the free Lie-module structure. This seems to be related to classical polynomial analogues of n^{n-1} and combinatorial statistics on rooted trees considered in [7].*

Remerciements : l’auteur a bénéficié du soutien du projet PEPS “Calcul moulien et MuPAD-Combinat”.

References

- [1] Nantel Bergeron and Muriel Livernet. A combinatorial basis for the free Lie algebra of the labelled rooted trees. *J. Lie Theory*, 20(1):3–15, 2010.
- [2] Nantel Bergeron and Jean-Louis Loday. The symmetric operation in a free pre-Lie algebra is magmatic, 2010.
- [3] John C. Butcher. *Numerical methods for ordinary differential equations*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 2003.
- [4] Frédéric Chapoton. On some anticyclic operads. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 5:53–69 (electronic), 2005.
- [5] Frédéric Chapoton. Free pre-Lie algebras are free as Lie algebras. *Bulletin canadien de mathématiques*, 53(3):425–437, 2010.
- [6] Frédéric Chapoton and Muriel Livernet. Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (8):395–408, 2001.
- [7] Dominique Dumont and Armand Ramamonjisoa. Grammaire de Ramanujan et arbres de Cayley. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 3(2):Research Paper 17, approx. 18 pp. (electronic), 1996. The Foata Festschrift.
- [8] Loïc Foissy. Finite-dimensional comodules over the Hopf algebra of rooted trees. *J. Algebra*, 255(1):89–120, 2002.
- [9] Murray Gerstenhaber. The cohomology structure of an associative ring. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 78:267–288, 1963.

- [10] Eric Hoffbeck. A Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt criterion for Koszul operads. *Manuscripta Math.*, 131(1-2):87–110, 2010.
- [11] Maxim Kontsevich. Formal (non)commutative symplectic geometry. In *The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1990–1992*, pages 173–187. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.
- [12] Martin Markl, Steve Shnider, and Jim Stasheff. *Operads in algebra, topology and physics*, volume 96 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [13] Christopher R. Stover. The equivalence of certain categories of twisted Lie and Hopf algebras over a commutative ring. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 86(3):289–326, 1993.
- [14] Bruno Vallette. Homology of generalized partition posets. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 208(2):699–725, 2007.
- [15] Ernest B. Vinberg. The theory of homogeneous convex cones. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč.*, 12:303–358, 1963.